

operation, maintenance, and/or closure?									
13. What is your hazard categorization of this facility, based on the consequence of failure?	Significant (see Q20)	Significant (see Q20)	Significant (see Q20)	Significant (see Q20)	Significant (see Q20)	Significant (see Q20)	Significant (see Q20)	N/A	Significant (see Q20)
14. What guideline do you follow for the classification system?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dam Safety Reference Book of CDA (CDA, 2019) • National criteria 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dam Safety Reference Book of CDA (CDA, 2019) • National criteria 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dam Safety Reference Book of CDA (CDA, 2019) • National criteria 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dam Safety Reference Book of CDA (CDA, 2019) • National criteria 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dam Safety Reference Book of CDA (CDA, 2019) • National criteria 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dam Safety Reference Book of CDA (CDA, 2019) • National criteria 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dam Safety Reference Book of CDA (CDA, 2019) • National criteria 	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dam Safety Reference Book of CDA (CDA, 2019) • National criteria
15. Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as stable, or experienced notable stability concerns, as identified by an independent engineer (even if later certified as stable by the same or a different firm)?	No	Yes. The independent audit carried out by SRK Consulting in 2021 revealed that there is insufficient data on the stability characteristics of the soil. Additional engineering and geological surveys will be carried out in 2023 to assess the dam's stability.	No. However, taking into account the potential climate change risks, in 2022 additional geophysical works to were carried out to confirm the stability of the permafrost	No	No	No	No	No	No
16. Do you have internal/in house engineering specialist oversight of this facility? Or do you have external engineering support for this purpose?	Internal control	Internal control	Internal control	Internal control	Internal control	Internal control	Internal control	Internal control	Internal control
17. Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in the event of catastrophic failure been undertaken and to reflect final conditions? If so, when did this assessment take place?	Yes, 2022	Yes, 2018	Yes, 2021	Yes, 2020	Yes, 2019	Yes, 2019	Yes, 2018	Yes, 2020	Yes, 2018
18. Is there a) a closure plan in place for this dam, and b) does it include long term monitoring?	a) No; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the TSF closure	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the TSF closure	a) Yes; b) Yes	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the TSF closure	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the TSF closure	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the TSF closure	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the TSF closure	a) Yes; b) No. We plan to operate it until 2041 (the end of Albazino's mine life), then further monitoring plan will be developed	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the TSF closure

<p>19. Have you, or do you plan to assess your tailings facilities against the impact of more regular extreme weather events as a result of climate change, e.g. over the next two years?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>20. Any other relevant information and supporting documentation</p>	<p>(Q13) The consequences of failure are assessed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of permanent residents in the area – none; • Living environment is not disturbed; • Harm to ecosystem is not significant and damage rehabilitation costs less than USD 1.5 m; • Potential failure would be within the land plots leased to the company 	<p>(Q7) Before 2017, each dam was raised partly on previously placed tailings and partly on crest of the dam which was constructed during previous phase. Since 2017, the dam has been raised on downstream slope. (Q13) The consequences of failure are assessed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of permanent residents in the area – none; • Living environment is not disturbed; • Harm to ecosystem is not significant and damage rehabilitation costs less than USD 1.5 m; • Potential failure would be within the land plots leased to the company 	<p>(Q13) The consequences of failure are assessed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of permanent residents in the area – none; • Living environment is not disturbed; • Harm to ecosystem is not significant and damage rehabilitation costs less than USD 1.5 m; • Potential failure would be within the land plots leased to the company 	<p>(Q13) The consequences of failure are assessed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of permanent residents in the area – none; • Living environment is not disturbed; • Harm to ecosystem is not significant and damage rehabilitation costs less than USD 1.5 m; • Potential failure would be within the land plots leased to the company; • 2 service employees could be trapped within the impact area 	<p>(Q13) The consequences of failure are assessed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of permanent residents in the area – none; • Living environment is not disturbed; • Harm to ecosystem is not significant and damage rehabilitation costs less than USD 1.5 m; • Potential failure would be within the land plots leased to the company and can affect not more than 7 employees 	<p>(Q5) Commissioned by former owner in 1984. New launch in 2002 after acquisition by Polymetal's subsidiary (Magadan Silver LLC) in 2000. (Q13) The consequences of failure are assessed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of permanent residents in the area – none; • Living environment is not disturbed; • Harm to ecosystem is not significant and damage rehabilitation costs less than USD 1.5 m; • Potential failure would be within the land plots leased to the company 	<p>(Q13) The consequences of failure are assessed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of permanent residents in the area – none; • Living environment is not disturbed; • Harm to ecosystem is not significant and damage rehabilitation costs less than USD 1.5 m; • Potential failure would be within the land plots leased to the company 	<p>(Q6) The dam is decommissioned. A land reclamation plan was developed in 2021 and will be carried out within 15 years</p>	<p>(Q13) The consequences of failure are assessed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of permanent residents in the area – none; • Living environment is not disturbed; • Harm to ecosystem is not significant and damage rehabilitation costs less than USD 1.5 m; • Potential failure would be within the land plots leased to the company

Dry stacking facilities (DFS)

Polymetal asset/hub	Voro	Amursk POX	Omolon	Nezhda
1. "Tailings Facility" name/identifier	Voro DSF	Amursk DSF	Omolon DSF	Nezhda DSF
2. Location	Russia N 59°39'7" E 60°18'57"	Russia N 50°15'18" E 136°49'31"	Russia N 63°40'42.4" E 159°57'00.7"	Russia N 62°30'40.8" E 139°02'22.0"
3. Ownership	Gold of Northern Urals JSC	Amur hydrometallurgical plant LLC	Omolon Gold Mining Company LLC	South-Verkhoyansk Mining Company JSC
4. Status	Active	Active	Active	Active
5. Date of initial operation	2000	2012	2021	2021
6. Is the dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7. Raising method	Dry stacking of tailings	Dry stacking of tailings	Dry stacking of tailings	Dry stacking of tailings
8. Most recent Independent Expert Review	Wardell Armstrong International, 2019	Wardell Armstrong International, 2018	-	-
9. Do you have full and complete relevant engineering records including design, construction, operation, maintenance, and/or closure?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10. What is your hazard categorization of this facility, based on the consequence of failure?	Non-hazardous	Non-hazardous	Non-hazardous	Non-hazardous
11. What guideline do you follow for the classification system?	National criteria	National criteria	National criteria	National criteria
12. Do you have internal/in house engineering specialist oversight of this facility? Or do you have external engineering support for this purpose?	Internal control	Internal control	Internal control	Internal control
13. Is there a) a closure plan in place for this dam, and b) does it include long term monitoring?	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the DSF closure	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the DSF closure	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the DSF closure	a) Yes; b) No. Reclamation Program will be developed in details by the time of the DSF closure